

REGULATIONS REGARDING RE-EVALUATION OF ANSWER BOOKS

A candidate who wishes to seek re-evaluation of his/her answer book(s) may apply for re-evaluation to the PTU, Jalandhar on the prescribed application form accompanied by a fee of Rs. 500/- per answer book for Bachelor/ Post-Graduate level courses alongwith the detailed marks card/ certificate, in original, if issued till the date of applying for re-evaluation.

1. Re-evaluation is permissible in all theory papers.
2. An application for re-evaluation on the prescribed form alongwith requisite fee must reach the University office under registered cover within 21 days from the date of declaration of the result of the particular examination (for this purpose the date printed in the result gazette/ notification shall be taken as the date of declaration). If the University has issued his D.M.C. within this period, it will have to be returned alongwith the Re-evaluation form.
3. Non-availability of form shall not be entertained as a plea for its late submission in any case.
4. No refund of fee shall be admissible. However, in such cases where an application is rejected on account of being time-barred or being inadmissible, 75% of the amount paid will be refunded without asking the candidate to apply for refund of fee.
5. A candidate whose result is declared late may also seek re-evaluation within 21 days from the date of declaration of his/her result.
6. Ignorance of the titles of any paper or option shall not be accepted as a plea for wrong entry in the application.
7. A candidate will be permitted to see his/ her answer-book(s) for identification only on payment of an additional fee of Rs. 200/- per answer book during working hours on a written request made within 30 days from the date of declaration of result of re-evaluation. Candidates has no right, whosoever, to challenge the evaluation.
8. The re-evaluation will be done under the regulations framed by Punjab Technical University from time to time, and the result of re-evaluation will be communicated to the candidate soon after it is declared. The candidate is required to plan his future programme in accordance with his original result already communicated by the University office till it is actually superseded by the result of re-evaluation.
9. The re-evaluation will be done by a second independent examiner. The final result after re-evaluation shall be as follows:
 - 9.1(a) “ If the difference between the original award and award on re-evaluation is up to 25%, the higher of the two shall be awarded”
 - 9.1(b) “ If the difference between the original award and the award on re-evaluation is more than 25%, a second evaluator is to be appointed and higher of the two nearest awards shall be awarded.”

10. Subject to provision under Regulation - 9, the average score on re-evaluation shall supersede the original score.
11. No further re-assessment shall be allowed after the award(s) by Re-evaluators has / have been received.
12. If as a result of re-evaluation, candidate passes the Examination, and he/she becomes eligible to seek admission to the next higher class within ten working days of the communication of re-evaluation result to him/her, his/her attendance in the higher class shall be counted from the date of his/ her admission.
13. All entries in the application for re-evaluation should be complete and correct in all respects. The office will not be responsible for the delay/ rejection of the case, if the form is not complete in all respects or not accompanied by full fee. No change in the entries once made by the candidate shall be allowed after the receipt of application by the University Office. However, a candidate shall be allowed to apply for deletion/ addition of one or more subject(s)/ paper(s) for which application for re-evaluation has been received before the due date along with requisite fee (for addition of subject(s)/ paper(s)). No refund of such fee shall be admissible.

If a candidate requests for return of the detailed marks card/ certificate sent by him / her alongwith the Re-evaluation form to apply for admission elsewhere, the detailed marks cards certificate shall be returned to the candidate after getting an undertaking that his/ her result for re-evaluation shall be declared only when he/she returns the original detailed marks card/ certificate.

The withdrawal of request for re-evaluation shall be allowed within 15 days of the last date for receipt of application provided that the process of re-evaluation has not been set in motion, i.e. the scripts have not been coded/ assigned fictitious Roll Nos. for re-evaluation.

14. The result of re-evaluation whether favourable or unfavourable, shall be binding on the candidate who applies for re-evaluation.
15. A candidate whose answer-book is not available for re-evaluation due to any reason, may be allowed by the Vice Chancellor either :
 - (i) to re-appear in the same paper at the next examination without payment of examination fee and in that event his / her result shall be determined on the basis of the marks secured by the candidate in the paper in which he / she reappears :
 - or
 - (ii) to have his fee refunded in full.
16. For clarification of any doubt or for interpretation of regulations, the decision of the Vice - Chancellor shall be final.

Amendment 1 (Approved in 9th Academic Council meeting)

9.7	Amendment of Rules for re-evaluation	The Academic Council decided to make the following addition in clause 15(i) of the Regulations for re-evaluation "This re-appear chance will be counted as first chance."
-----	--------------------------------------	--

Amendment 2 (Approved in 14th Academic Council meeting)

14.13	Minutes of Examination Committee meeting held on 30.12.2003.	<p>The Controller of Examinations read out minutes of the Examination Committee held on 13th December. Proceedings of the committee were circulated along with the agenda points. It was agreed that there should be only one examination in a particular subject as long as the syllabus in the subject does not vary more than 20%. Question papers in case of variation below 20% could be as follows :</p> <p>(a) In compulsory question instead of 10, 12 questions to be set and students asked to attempt 10 questions. Two of such questions must be from the old syllabus content.</p> <p>(b) No. of questions in section B & C can be increased to 5 each, instead of 4 at present, and students be asked to attempt 5 questions at least 2 from each section.</p> <p>It was decided that all future reappear exams, where the study scheme had not changed and variation of syllabus was less than, 20% only one examination would be conducted.</p> <p>On the Issue of re-evaluation, the Council accepted the following:</p> <p>"As per the present rules, if the difference between the original award of the re-evaluation result is more than 15% then 2nd re-evaluator is appointed. It has been recommended that if the difference between the original award and award of re-evaluation is more than 25%, then only should 2nd re-evaluator be appointed. Further, the mean of the nearest two awards should be taken instead of the highest two."</p>
-------	--	--

Amendment 3 (Approved in 15th Academic Council meeting)

15.6	To formulate regulations for conduct of end semester examination & to conduct examinations May, 2004	Controller of examinations briefed his scheme for smooth conduct of the coming results May 2004. The details had been included in the agenda points circulated to the members. The council members discussed various issues and expedite the proposal of controller of examinations. Registrar brought out the fact that in its 12 th meeting, the council had recommended to the BOG reduction in the time period of submission of re-evaluation forms from 21 days to 15 days between the date of declaration of the result and subsequent receipt of the filled evaluation form at the University. The recommendations were put forward to the BOG. The
------	--	---

		<p>members of the BOG had deliberated on the issue and had proposed that this reduction in time period for submission of re-evaluation forms should be reviewed by the Academic Council. This, in the opinion of the BOG members, may be harsh on the students. The BOG had proposed the matter to be discussed once again in the Academic Council. The council deliberated on the issue and amended its earlier decision of reducing number of days for filling up re-evaluation forms from 21 days to 14 days. The council withdrew its earlier decision taken during 12th Academic Council meeting. Thus reinstating 21 days time period for filling of re-evaluation form from the date of declaration of the result to 21 days from the declaration of the result.</p>
--	--	--

Amendment 4 (Approved in 19th Academic Council meeting)

<p>19.10</p>	<p>To consider the proposed amendments in the rules of re-evaluation.</p>	<p>The Academic Council deliberated on the issue and made the following recommendations for approval of the BOG.</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> a) If the difference between the original award and award on re-evaluation is up to 25%, the higher of the two shall be awarded. b) If the difference between the original award and the award on re-evaluation is more than 25%, a second evaluator is to be appointed and higher of the two nearest awards shall be awarded
--------------	---	---