

1.1.2 Percentage of Programmes where syllabus revision was carried out during the last five years.

Grade Obtained from NAAC: 0

Grade expected by IKGPTU: 4

Information Submitted by IKGPTU is as follows:

Stage 1: SSR

HEI Response: 18.81

1.1.2.1 How many Programmes were revised out of total number of Programmes offered during the last five years

2020-21	2019-20	2018-19	2017-18	2016-17
5	14	12	4	3

1.1.2.2 Number of all Programmes offered by the institution during the last five years

2020-21	2019-20	2018-19	2017-18	2016-17
52	48	38	33	31

Supporting Documents: To substantiate the claim, sufficient documents (as per the SOP of NAAC) were provided by IKGPTU and were uploaded during submission of SSR as per the following details:

File Description	Document
Institutional Data Template in prescribed format	https://assessmentonline.naac.gov.in/storage/app/hei/SSR/101533/1.1.2_1643633296_7403.xlsx . Data template contains the details of all programs revised by HEI during the last five years.
Minutes of relevant Academic Council / BOS meetings	Minutes of Academic Council / BOS meetings highlighting the relevant information regarding change in syllabus were uploaded at https://assessmentonline.naac.gov.in/storage/app/hei/SSR/101533/1.1.2_1643537573_7403.pdf for DVV process (Department wise link to the Minutes of BOS are given in the attached file).
Details of Programme	The details of all programs revised by IKGPTU during last 5 years was uploaded at https://assessmentonline.naac.gov.in/storage/app/hei/SSR/101533/1.1.2_1643717

syllabus revision in last 5 years	626_7403.xlsx
Any additional information	The list of programs where syllabus revision was carried out during the last five years duly certified by Registrar IKGPTU was provided to strengthen the claim at https://assessmentonline.naac.gov.in/storage/app/hei/SSR/101533/1.1.2_1643808366_7403.pdf for DVV process.
Link for additional information	The link to the Department wise supporting documents for this metric was given here: https://ptu.ac.in/naac/Criterion-1/#1638420668893-33793e9b-7ab5

Stage 2: DVV

Deviation Details:

No query was generated by DVV. No clarification for this metric was sought by NAAC for DVV clarification process.

DVV Findings:

None

Response from HEI:

Since no clarification was sought during DVV, there was no response for this metric in DVV Clarification process.

Note: This metric was not included when DVV clarifications were sought by NAAC on the portal. DVV neither changed the number of programs claimed by IKGPTU nor any clarification was sought from HEI during DVV.

Stage 3: Appeal

Reasons for Appeal:

The following documents (as per the SOP of NAAC) can be seen to substantiate the claim of IKGPTU.

- 1) Data template of this indicator is available at https://assessmentonline.naac.gov.in/storage/app/hei/SSR/101533/1.1.2_16436332967403.xlsx. The information regarding year of introduction and year of revision of each program is clearly mentioned in the Data template. It may kindly be noted that as per the UGC guidelines vide letter D. O. No. 9-2/2017/(CPP-II) dated 31-7-2017 (Copy attached), IKGPTU revises the curriculum of programs once in a span of three years
- 2) The details of all programs revised by IKGPTU during last 5 years can be seen at

https://assessmentonline.naac.gov.in/storage/app/hei/SSR/101533/1.1.2_1643717626_7403.xlsx. From this sheet, it is evident that IKGPTU has revised the syllabus of a total of 27 programs offered during last 5 years.

- 3) The list of programs where syllabus revision was carried out during the last five years duly certified by the Registrar IKGPTU can be seen at https://assessmentonline.naac.gov.in/storage/app/hei/SSR/101533/1.1.2_1643808366_7403.pdf
- 4) Minutes of Academic Council / BOS meetings highlighting the relevant information regarding change in syllabus can be seen at https://assessmentonline.naac.gov.in/storage/app/hei/SSR/101533/1.1.2_1643537573_7403.pdf for DVV process (Department wise link to the Minutes of BOS are given in the attached file).
- 5) It is worth mentioning here that majority of the academic departments of IKGPTU were established in the year 2016-17. Hence, majority of the programs were introduced in the year 2016-17 with a newly designed syllabus in line with the model curriculum recommended by UGC / AICTE (wherever applicable). The syllabus of the courses introduced in 2016 was revised in subsequent years as per the requirements. However, the departments introduced some new programs in the year 2019-2020 and 2020-21 as per the expansion plans of the University.
- 6) From the details given in the data template, it is evident that the programs where syllabus revision is 'NIL' are primarily those programs which were introduced in the years 2019-20, 2020-21. The curriculum of such programs was rigorously designed and updated in line with the regulations of UGC / AICTE. The newly introduced programs shall be revised in future as per UGC guidelines.

Appeal:

In view of above points and the documents attached, IKGPTU feels that score for this metric be reviewed in light of the year of introduction of the program and HEI deserves to be **upgraded to grade 4 for this metric.**



प्रो. (डॉ.) जसपाल एस. सन्धू
सचिव

Prof. Dr. Jaspal S. Sandhu
MBBS, MS (Ortho), DSM, FAIS, FASM, FAFSM, FFIMS, FAMS
Secretary



विश्वविद्यालय अनुदान आयोग
University Grants Commission

(मानव संसाधन विकास मंत्रालय, भारत सरकार)
(Ministry of Human Resource Development, Govt. of India)

बहादुरशाह ज़फ़र मार्ग, नई दिल्ली-110002
Bahadur Shah Zafar Marg, New Delhi-110002

Ph.: 011-23239337, 23236288,
Fax : 011-23238858, email : jssandhu.ugc@nic.in

D.O. No. 9-2/2017(CPP-II)

31st January, 2017

Dear Sir/Madam,

Reference : MHRD letter No.4-5/2017-U1A, dated 24th January, 2017.

In a world changing at breakneck speed, any conceptual innovations and technological breakthroughs are subjected to further alterations and up-gradation within a short span since their launch. In such a vibrant climate, the responsibility to address the needs created by self-activating change lies at the door of educational leaders, classroom teachers, academic administrators, and community leaders. One efficient way to fulfill these needs is periodic curriculum revision. I believe that the ever-enhancing needs of various quarters of society and culture can be addressed effectively when inter-disciplinarity of the programs offered by the university can be complemented effectively by a robust mechanism to revisiting and revision of the curricula at regular interval of time.

In a recently held meeting of the Group of Secretaries on Education and Social Development with the Hon'ble Prime Minister, it was recommended that curricula of all academic departments in Universities should be reviewed and revised at least once in every three years. This review and revision of academic curricula should focus on the existing and potential demand and supply of skill sets to make the University/College students employable.

You are, therefore, requested to accord top priority to the matter and take immediate action so that the curricula of various programs offered at your university are revised and adopted for quick implementation by your University and the colleges affiliated to it.

With regards,

Yours sincerely,

(Jaspal S. Sandhu)

To the Vice-Chancellor's of all the universities

Copy to :

✓ The Publication Officer, Website Division, UGC for uploading on website.

(Jaspal S. Sandhu)